

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

JAMES BEARDEN, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	NO. 3:09-1035
)	Judge Sharp/Bryant
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)	Jury Demand
)	
Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Plaintiffs Bearden have filed their third consolidated motion to compel (Docket Entry No. 110).

During a telephone case management conference with the undersigned Magistrate Judge on June 24, 2011, counsel for defendant Honeywell reported to the Court that Honeywell had been able to obtain a complete copy of the Honeywell call center database referenced in this motion, and that it has been, or shortly will be, produced to plaintiffs. From a review of the remaining items sought by plaintiffs' motion (Docket Entry No. 110 at 3-4), it appears that the remaining items have been addressed by this Court's memorandum and order of June 29, 2011 (Docket Entry No. 134). For this reason, plaintiffs' third motion to compel (Docket Entry No. 110) is **DENIED** as moot.

It is so **ORDERED**.

s/ John S. Bryant
JOHN S. BRYANT
United States Magistrate Judge

